

## The Enemy Within

—o— Continued from page 1 —o—

the "new order" are monopolists, without any interest in the welfare of the nation and its people. We do not believe it.

Do the Brain Trusters believe what they say? Some do. But many of the Brain Trusters understand exactly how separate controls over parts of the economy lead straight to a revolutionary new kind of government with irresistible economic and political powers. They know what they're doing. They like it that way.

At long last the American people are beginning to see that this group of able, but ruthless men is not merely against business but against every part of the American system and that they will not stop until they have completed a revolution in the American government from which there will be no return.

Why President Kennedy's angry statement about business should have triggered the avalanche, when the evidence was in so long ago, is anyone's guess.

Perhaps it was the simple brutality of the statement. Roger Blough's offence was that he had permitted the publication of a proposed increase in steel prices before President Kennedy had been consulted, but after he had waited hours for a promised appointment. The President not only denounced Blough for "violating an agreement," but ordered Secretary McNamara to place Defense orders for steel with companies other than U. S. Steel, and ordered the Attorney-General to look into possible prosecution of the steel company heads who raised their prices to the same level as U. S. Steel.

In a few words, President Kennedy dramatized the overwhelming economic power now wielded by the American Presidency. Any president can today order government departments (like Defense), which supply so large a share of the orders industry depends on today, to divert their massive buying power from firms which displease the President to firms which are more docile. He can order enormous police powers of the Federal Government directed against the firms which dare to resist price controls from Washington.

It took days for the full measure of this enormity to penetrate the minds of the American people, but once accepted, there was no illusion left. Suddenly people saw the Federal executive power in all its nakedness, with money powers and police powers strong enough to coerce any private citizen or private enterprise. People saw, further, that if the Federal Executive had this power it could also decide which firms were "good" firms, which would get contracts and protection, and which firms were "bad" firms to be punished by loss of revenue and threats of criminal prosecution. A Federal Executive armed with these two mighty powers could lay down any policy it wished and compel obedience. For who could resist such might?

We do not know where the President could have found even the shadow of legislative authority for his position. Where does the law say business may not ask for price increases after being compelled by the Government to pay wage increases?

We do not know of a shadow of an excuse for denouncing the other steel firms for raising prices after U. S. Steel raised them. After all the other steel executives could read the papers. They knew their costs were going up and their biggest competitor was going to raise prices. Should they have pretended they could not read? If there was collusion, no legal proof was offered. No proof was deemed necessary. That is the point. A government with such powers is above the law.

Maybe the judgment of the steel companies in raising prices was wise. Maybe not. That is not the question at issue. The question is whether we have come to the place where private business men can make their own decisions according to their own best



judgment, or whether they may be punished by Government if Government disagrees.

We must keep that issue very clear because, under the American form of government and economy, private firms are free to make their own judgments, and to differ, if they choose, from the decisions of government and those of their competitors, without fear of punishment. The governmental and economic system represented by President Kennedy's statement is not the American system, but its complete antithesis. It is the doctrine, always strong in Continental Europe, that the government is superior to the citizenry and their private organizations.

Why the American people saw the issue clearly in President Kennedy's outburst and not before we do not know. This political doctrine has been the policy of the American government since March 4, 1933. It was enunciated again and again by Presidents Roosevelt and Truman.

President Kennedy's statement was an unusually bald statement of power and intention, but it is not really different from all the other statements of the same kind, made in softer and silkier words. The threat of naked power has always been there.

Probably for the first time large numbers of Americans see that the President's actions are not only anti-business. They go deeper. He is pursuing a policy that is against free government, against the Constitution.

American business is one of the pillars of free society. No American government can be "anti-business" and for freedom for other citizens.

We said in our last Council Letter that American business has at least four important public functions:

Production of goods and services

Creation of jobs

Provision of a surplus for export

Provision of a surplus for defense.

Business could never have achieved these four aims with such outstanding success, unless the great majority of business men had been honest and dedicated.

For the first time our people see that all too many of our governmental and political posts are occupied by men who are willing and able to destroy the American system.

We think a large number of the most powerful officials in our government are brilliant and learned but totally untrustworthy. Even if they are intelligent as they think they are (which we do not believe), we do not want any policy they offer. We do not trust any remedies they may devise.

Their purposes are not our purposes. They think it is clever and original and up-to-date to destroy the American system, and replace it with new systems of their own concocting. We do not.

We do not merely criticize. We completely distrust their foreign policy. We believe they are moving step by step to weaken the United States as a nation, to put our country firmly under the yoke of a UN with military, judicial, and taxing powers, and to increase the communist influence over every phase of our lives. Look at Laos,

at Berlin, at Korea, at Yugoslavia, Indonesia. Look at the insults to Adenauer, Salazar, the King of Laos, Chiang Kai-shek, the Dutch.

What to do? It is no defense in this thirty-years war against the American people and their real government to blame President Kennedy. Hating "that man Roosevelt" got us exactly nothing.

We do not think President Kennedy wishes to destroy the American Government. Neither did President Roosevelt nor President Truman. But the Lilliputians have learned how to manage Leviathan under any President.

We do not believe the American people can get rid of the planners by fighting the President, whoever he is. They will only get another President who knowingly — or unknowingly — lets the planners run the show.

An excellent little pamphlet, "Keynes at Harvard" (Order from C.E.A., Union, N. J., price \$2.00) shows the root of the "new economics" and its political strategy and how they have grown over many years. But we must enter one caution. The Fabians began in England over 60 years ago but it is a dangerous error to think today's socialists are merely English Fabians. Fabian tactics have been adopted by all those who would destroy our Government.

For the first time since 1933 the American people faintly understand who their opponents are. They do not yet see how great a battle they must wage to win back their country. It will take many years to overcome the gains made by the planners in the last thirty years without any intelligent opposition.

The way to begin is to begin. The time is now. Let us then, on this Fourth of July, 1962, dedicate ourselves to the fight to restore our Constitutional form of Government against all attacks, including intellectual subversion. Continuing then the struggle until the Constitution is again omnipotent in our land, let us pledge our lives, our fortunes, and our sacred honor.

## Will the U.N. Suffer Another Murder?

They killed Count Bernadotte, United Nations Mediator in Palestine, they shot at the late Dag Hammarskjöld, United Nations Secretary General while on a visit to Jerusalem, and now Israeli murderers threaten to kill another United Nations officer, General Von Horn, Chief United Nations Truce Supervisor.

An announcement made by Albert Grand, Press Attache of the United Nations Truce Supervision Organization said that General Von Horn has received an anonymous letter from Israel threatening to kill him.

Grand said, the letter requested General Von Horn to leave Israel within ten days. Otherwise, it threatened, he would be "taken home in a coffin."

General Von Horn lives at the U.N. Truce Supervision Organization in the demilitarized zone and not in Israel.

Grand added that this was not the first time General Von Horn received threatening letters from Israel.

What crime has this Swedish employe of the United Nations committed? Mainly he told the truth. Last fall at the United Nations he refused to submit to Zionist pressure and told the Security Council that Israel was not cooperating in keeping the peace in the Middle East.

## "The Ultimate World Order"

ALL ORDERS FOR THIS BOOK CAN NOW BE FILLED. Price 75¢